

Hong Kong Daily Press

ESTABLISHED 1857.

No. 10,855

五十五年十一月三十日

星期二十一

HONGKONG TUESDAY NOVEMBER 24TH 1891.

二年

英一千九百一十一年十一月三十日

Price 3d per Month

NOTICE.

Communications respecting Advertisements, Subscriptions, Printing, Binding, &c. should be addressed to "The Press," only, and special business matters to "The Manager." Advertisements and Subscriptions which are not ordered for a fixed period will be continued until otherwise notified.

Orders for extra copies of the *Daily Press* should be sent before 11 a.m. on the day of publication.

After that hour the supply is limited.

Telegraphic Address *Press*. Telephone No. 12.

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

TO LET.

N. S. WEST TERRACE.

Immediate entry.

Apply to G. C. ANDERSON,

13, Praya Central,

Hongkong, 24th November, 1891. [2383]

W. POWELL & CO.

IMMENSE STOCK OF NEW GOODS.

GENTLEMEN'S WHITE, LAVENDER,

AND COLOURED

KID AND SUEDE GLOVES,

IN SPLENDID CONDITION.

SILK AND SPUN SILK HOSIERY.

W. POWELL & CO.

Hongkong, 16th November, 1891. [2326]

THE CHINA SHIPPERS' MUTUAL

STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY,

LIMITED.

FOR SINGAPORE.

THE Company's Chartered Steamship

"HAMPSHIRE."

Kernihill, G. C. Anderson, despatched as

above to SINGAPORE, the 25th inst., at Day

Light, instead of as previously notified.

For Freight, apply to

A. KHNOLD, KARBERG & CO.,

Agents.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. [2331]

THE CHINA AND MANILA STEAM-

SHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR MANILA via AMOY.

THE Company's Steamship

"ZAFIRO."

Captain Coban, will be despatched for the

above Ports on THURSDAY, the 28th inst., at

4 p.m.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SHEWAN & CO.

General Managers.

Hongkong, 24th November, 1891. [2334]

FOR SINGAPORE, PENANG, AND

CALCUTTA.

THE Steamship.

"ALKARATOON APAC."

Captain G. S. Spencer, will be despatched for the

above Ports on FRIDAY, the 27th instant, at

Navy.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DAVID SASSOON, SONS & CO.

Agents.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. [2332]

CHINA NAVIGATION COMPANY,

LIMITED.

FOR PERTH DARWIN, QUEENSLAND

PORTS, SYDNEY, AND MELBOURNE

&c. The Company's Steamship

"TAIWAN."

R. Nelson, R.N.R., Commander, will be despatched as above on MONDAY, the 29th inst.

The attention of Passengers is directed to the

Superior Accommodation offered by this

First-class Saloon and a cabin by

the Cabin. Passengers are bethed in the Cabin. A

Frifgating Chamber ensures the supply of

Fresh Provisions during the entire voyage. A

dually qualified Surgeon is carried.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

BUTTERFIELD & SWINEY,

Agents.

Hongkong, 24th November, 1891. [2333]

THEATRE ROYAL,

CITY HALL.

Under the Patronage of His Excellency Major

General G. DIXON-BARKER, Administering

the Government.

On SATURDAY EVENING NEXT,

the 28th NOVEMBER,

FAREWELL ENTERTAINMENT

will be given by the

BAND and LORE'S ATHLETIC CLUB

of the

PRINCES LOUISE'S

ARMY and SUTHERLAND HIGHLANDERS.

The following is the RAND PROGRAMME—

1 Overture. "William Tell," Rossini.

2 Carols Polka. "The War of England," Farrel

3 Glee. "Winds of Fury," Whittaker.

4 Air & Vari. "Mossie," Riviere.

5 Musical Joke. "The Jolly Musicians," Mascot.

6 Grand "Episode in a Sol." Keppel.

7 Introduction.

Romance of War—Troops Assemble.—The

Embarkation—On Board—Desembarka-

tion—The Camp at Night—The Enemy

attempts a Surprise—But is Foiled

—Fire—Advance—Charge—The Battle—

Victory.

Final—See the Conquering Hero comes.

Hole D'Uranie.

Horizonal Bar.

Vaulting the Horse,

Swing the Horse,

Marcel Drill by the Boys,

And Comic Boxing Scenes

by the Lorino Athlete Club.

Tickets \$2 and \$1 to be obtained at Messrs.

KELLY & WALSH, where a Plan of the Seats

may be seen.

Soldiers and Sailors, 25 cents.

To commence at 9 p.m.

The Burples, if any, will be devoted to the Garrison Female Hospi-

tal and Soldiers and Sailors' Institutes.

God Save the Queen.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. [2330]

E. KREISSMANN, BORDEAUX.

THE Undersigned hold stock of this Firm's

well-known brands of

CLARETS:

Per case of 12 bottles.

Medoc. \$3.25

St. J. Lieb. \$3.50

Margaux Medoc. \$3.75

Chateau Laton Roeder. \$11.50

St. Estephe 1882. \$12.50

Medoc. \$12.50

Chateau Lamothe Mac. \$14.25

Chateau Latour, Second. \$17.75

vin 1880 mis en bon. \$17.75

Chateau Leoville Barton. \$20.50

Chateau La Lagune 1875. \$24.75

Chateau Calon Scurt. \$25.00

St. Estephe 1875. \$25.00

SIEMESSEN & CO.

Hongkong, 25th October, 1891. [2368]

INTIMATIONS.

TO STAMP COLLECTORS AND DEALERS.

B. J. REMEDIOS.

FOREIGN AND COLONIAL STAMP DEALER.

7, CHANCERY LANE, HONGKONG.

Will be glad to send Stamps on approval to any address on receipt & remittance of postage.

He has in his possession a large collection of Stamps, &c., & is desirous of getting rid of them.

Agents WANTED.

Hongkong, 23rd November, 1891. [2375]

JUST LANDED.

BEST FRENCH BISCUITS.

LU LU.

Sample on application to

G. GIROULT,

8, Queen's Road.

PARCELS to the Royal Household.

THE splendid Cigars and Cigarettes from the

above Factory were awarded Gold Medals in

different Exhibitions and the highest Diploma

of Honour in the Melbourne and Adelaide

Exhibitions. To be had at their Cigar Depot,

No. 8, D'Aguilar St.,

Arabs, Hongkong.

Hongkong, 24th November, 1891. [2375]

INTIMATIONS.

TO THE NEW YORK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY.

ESTABLISHED 1845.

TOTAL ASSETS ON 1ST JANUARY, 1891. \$15,947,800

AMOUNT ASSURED. \$50,388,725

STURDIES BY NEW YORK STATE. \$14,098,450

STANDARDS BY BIRLEY, DALMAYPLE & CO.

HONGKONG, 24th April 1891. [2382]

INTIMATIONS.

GOLD MEDALS
SILVER MEDALS
By Appointment.

KUHN & CO.
HONGKONG. YOKOHAMA.
(Established, 1859).

FINE ART
CHRISTMAS SHOW.
1890

BROWN, JONES & CO.

ITALIAN AND AMERICAN MARBLE
MONUMENTS AND MEMORIALS
IN STOCK.

METALLIC AND PORCELAIN
WREATHS AND CROSSES.

A SKILLED EUROPEAN STONEMASON
SUPERINTENDS ALL WORKS,
PREFECT ATTENTION TO ORDERS FROM
CANTON PORTS.
Hongkong, 7th August, 1891.

It is imperious or doubt that a Municipal Council in Hongkong would prove as great a success as in the Southern Colony or the Model Settlement. Mr. Whitehead pointed to one matter in which improvement could not fail to be effected from the day that the element of popular control was introduced. We refer to the regulation of the street traffic. At present there is practically no regulation. No standards are appointed for the jinrikishas, and it is the exception over to see them at a standstill. Empty vehicles are allowed to loiter about Queen's Road in search of fares, travelling sometimes two abreast, and occupying fully a third of a thoroughfare much too narrow for the legitimate traffic it has to accommodate. Visitors landing at the wharves or persons leaving the hotels or Clubs are made the objects of a frantic rush, and if any one wishes to cross the street there is a great probability of his having to clear a way for himself with his cane. This is a state of things that would not be tolerated under a Municipality. It would be as easy for the present Government for a Municipality to bring about an improvement, but the fact that the evil is allowed to continue proves the necessity of a change in the system of administration.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LIMITED.

WE invite attention to our first supplies of
CONFECTORY AND
CHRISTMAS GOODS
received by the last Mail.

JORDAN ALMONDS, NOUGAT, BUTTER SCOTCH ASSORTED TOFFEES,
DRAGEES, PRALINES, and a large selection
of PURE CONFECTORY from the
leading Manufacturers.

CADBURY'S SPECIAL CHOCOLATE
CREMES.

PINE, APRICOT, CHERRY, LIME,
GUAVA, and other FRUIT JELLIES in
great variety.

TOM SMITH'S CHRISTMAS
CRACKERS.

COLOURED OPALS mounted in Plush,
representing favourite subjects.

A large assortment of ENGLISH and JA-
PANESE CHRISTMAS CARDS, of hand-
some and artistic designs, suitable to all taste
and at moderate prices.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LIMITED;
THE HONGKONG DISPENSARY.
ESTABLISHED A.D. 1841.
Hongkong, 1st November, 1891.

19

The Norwegian barque *Arion*, which arrived yesterday from Singapore, experienced two typhoons on the voyage up. The first was on Saturday, the 13th inst., off Manila. The vessel passed through the centre of the storm, and lost her lower topsail, had her bulwarks stove in, and sustained other damage. On Tuesday, the 17th inst., in 15° N. and 118° E., she passed through the northern portion of the second typhoon, and had to cut away lower topsail and forecastle; lost everything on deck, and had her rigging badly damaged. Two men were severely injured at the wheel and had to be carried to their bunks.

The British barque *Nicoya*, which arrived late on the 4th October, also experienced two typhoons, one on the 13th and the other on the 17th inst.

THE RECENT TYPHOONS.

The Norwegian barque *Arion*, which arrived yesterday from Singapore, experienced two typhoons on the voyage up. The first was on Saturday, the 13th inst., off Manila. The vessel passed through the centre of the storm, and lost her lower topsail, had her bulwarks stove in, and sustained other damage. On Tuesday, the 17th inst., in 15° N. and 118° E., she passed through the northern portion of the second typhoon, and had to cut away lower topsail and forecastle; lost everything on deck, and had her rigging badly damaged. Two men were severely injured at the wheel and had to be carried to their bunks.

The British barque *Nicoya*, which arrived late on the 4th October, also experienced two typhoons, one on the 13th and the other on the 17th inst.

SUPREME COURT.

23rd November.

IN ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

BEFORE THE FULL COURT.

CHINA AND MANILA STEAMSHIP COMPANY
v. NG CHAN FONG.

This was an ex parte application for a re-hearing.

The Acting Attorney-General (Hon. A. J. LEACH) instructed by Messrs. Weston and DON, was for the plaintiffs.

The Acting Attorney-General said this was an application for the re-hearing of a suit held before the Chief Justice, judgment in which was given on the 20th October, 1891, and the case was dismissed with costs.

The chief ground on which the application was based was that no evidence was taken and no admissions made, and therefore the plaintiffs were discharged from applying for an appeal.

On hearing the case held before the Chief Justice, judgment in which was given on the 20th October, 1891, and the case was dismissed with costs, it was held that no evidence was taken and no admissions made, and therefore the plaintiffs were discharged from applying for an appeal.

The Chief Justice said the ground on which he had given judgment was wholly out of relevance to the case.

The Acting Attorney-General said their contention at that time was that the plaintiff company had no right to money collected on behalf of the plaintiff company and that he had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

His contention was that the plaintiff company had no right to sue for any money owed by the plaintiff company.

